

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	MATSUZAKI ET AL.	
09/806,414	Art Unit	
Examiner Steven D. Maki	1733	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Steven D. Maki.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Lipp.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none


Claims discussed:

15 and 25-28

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Applicant's representative agreed to the changes in the examiner's amendment***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)